1								
1 2 3 4 5	Unit EUN Chie	VIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) ted States Attorney  MI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722) ef, Criminal Division  BERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441) sistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102			PUI SEP 2	8 PM 3: 59 DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6 7		Telephone: (413) 430-7219 Fax: (415) 436-7234						
8	A	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT						
9		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	)	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION						
1:			)	No.	3 05 705	52		
1	2   U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA,	{		<del>POSED</del> 1 C	RDER AND	ANICE	
1	3	Plaintiff,	\ \	STIP	ULATION	TOR CONTINU.	ANCE O	
1	4	v.	)	OCT	OBER 14,	HE SPEEDY TR	LUDING IAL ACT	
1	.5 S	SHEILA WHITTENBERG,	)	CAL	CULATIO	N (18 U.S.C. §	TIME	
1	L6	Defendant.	)	LIM	I(h)(8)(A)) ITS UNDE	AND WAIVING R RULE 5.1	1	
:	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court e						Court enters	
;	18	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of October 14, 2005 at						
	19	this order scheduling an arraignment or preliminary hearing date of October 14, 2005 at						
	20	and documenting the defendant 5 was a significant six and documenting the defendant 5 was a significant six and documenting the defendant 5 was a significant six and documenting the defendant 5 was a significant six and documenting the defendant 5 was a significant six and documenting the defendant 5 was a significant six and documenting the defendant 5 was a six and document 5 was a six a						
late under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.1 and an								
	22 under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), from September 29, 2003 to						, ••• ,	
	23	The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:						
	24	<ol> <li>The defendant has been releat</li> </ol>	and the speed on her own recognizance.					
	25	a my defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Inal Act, 18 0.5.0.						
	26	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) to provide continuity of counsel and reasonable time necessary for effective						
27 28		l time into account the exercise of due diligence.						
		3. The defendant waives the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for						

preliminary hearing.

DATED:

- 4. Counsel for the defense believes that postponing the preliminary hearing is in his client's best interest, and that it is not in his client's interest for the United States to indict the case during the normal 20-day timeline established in Rule 5.1.
- 5. The Court finds that, taking into the account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. Given these circumstances, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from September 29, 2005 to October 14, 2005, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
- 6. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) sets a preliminary hearing date before the duty magistrate judge on October 14, 2005, at 9:30A.M., and (2) orders that the period from September 29, 2005 to October 14, 2005 be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

DATED:

DATED:

RON TYLER
Attorney for Defendant

DATED:

ROBERT DAVID REES
Assistant United States Attorney

IT IS SO ORDERED.

HON. JOSEPALSPERO

United States Magistrate Judge

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

27

28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee of the office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of California and is a person of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers. The undersigned certifies that she caused copies of [PROPOSED] ORDER AND STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE FROM SEPTEMBER 29, 2005 TO OCTOBER 14, 2005 AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(H)(8)(A) AND WAIVING TIME LIMITS UNDER RULE 5.1 in the case of **UNITED STATES V. SHEILA WHITTENBERG**, 03-05-70552 to be served on the parties in this action, by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows which is the last known address: 10 RON TYLER, AFPD 11 UNITED STATES FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 450 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, 19<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR 12 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 13 X (By Personal Service), I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the person or offices of each addressee(s) above. 14 (By Facsimile), I caused each such document to be sent by Facsimile to the person or 15 offices of each addressee(s) above. 16 (By Mail), I caused each such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail at San Francisco, California. 17 (By Fed Ex), I caused each such envelope to be delivered by FED EX to the address 18 listed above. 19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 Dated: September 28, 2005 21 22 United States Attorney's Office 23 24 25 26